## Silicon Techtronics Promised To Deliver a Safe Robot

## By Mabel Muckraker, Special to the Silicon Valley Sentinel-Observer, Silicon Valley, USA

At a news conference this afternoon, a ragtag group of programmers who call themselves the Justice for Randy Samuels Committee distributed documents that show Silicon Techtronics obligated itself to deliver robots that would "cause no bodily injury to the human operator." Randy Samuels is the programmer charged with manslaughter in the infamous "killer robot" case.

"We cannot understand how the prosecuting attorney could charge Randy with manslaughter when, in fact, Silicon Techtronics was legally bound to deliver a safe robot to Cybernetics," said committee spokesperson Ruth Witherspoon. "We believe that there is a cover-up going on and that there is some kind of collusion between SiliTech (Silicon Techtronics) management and the prosecuting attorney's office. Michael Waterson was a major contributor to Ms. McMurdock's re-election campaign last year." Michael Waterson is president and CEO of Silicon Techtronics. Jane McMurdock is the prosecuting attorney for the city of Silicon Valley. The Sentinel-Observer has confirmed that Waterson made several large contributions to the McMurdock re-election campaign last fall.

"Randy is being made the scapegoat for a company which had lax quality-control standards, and we are not going to stand for it!" Witherspoon shouted in an emotional statement to reporters. "We believe that politics has entered this case."

The documents distributed by the Justice for Randy Samuels Committee were portions of what is called a "requirements document." According to Witherspoon and other committee members, this document proves that Samuels was not legally responsible for the death of Bart Matthews, the unfortunate robot operator who was killed by a Silicon Techtronics robot at Cybernetics Inc., in Silicon Heights, last May. The requirements document amounts to a contract between Silicon Techtronics and Cybernetics Inc. It spells out in complete detail the functionality of the Robbie CX30 robot Silicon Techtronics promised to deliver to Cybernetics.

According to Witherspoon, the Robbie CX30 robot was designed to be an "intelligent" robot capable of operating in a variety of industrial settings. Separate requirements documents were necessary for each corporate customer because the Robbie CX30 was not an "off-the-shelf" robot but a robot that needed to be programmed differently for each application. However, all requirements documents for the Robbie CX30 project, including the agreement between Silicon Techtronics and Cybernetics, contain the following important statements:

"The robot will be safe to operate and even under exceptional conditions (see Section 5.2) the robot will cause no bodily injury to the human operator . . . In the event of the exceptional conditions which potentially contain the risk of bodily injury (see Section 5.2.4 and all of its subsections), the human operator will be able to enter a sequence of command codes, as described in the relevant sections of the functional specification (see Section 3.5.2), which will arrest robot motion long before bodily injury can actually occur."

Exceptional conditions include unusual events such as bizarre data from the robot sensors, erratic or violent robot motion or operator error. It was exactly such an exceptional condition that led to the death of Bart Matthews. These passages were extracted from the portion of the requirements document that deals with "non-functional requirements." The non-functional requirements present in complete detail the constraints under which the robot will operate. For example, the requirement that the robot be incapable of harming its human operator is one such constraint, and Silicon Techtronics, according to Witherspoon, was legally obligated to satisfy this constraint.

Elsewhere, the "functional requirements" portion of the requirements document covers, again in complete detail, the behavior of the robot and its interaction with its environment and its human operator. In particular, the functional requirements specified the behavior of the robot under each and every anticipated exceptional condition. Exceptional conditions that require operator intervention cause an error message to be generated at the operator console. In her statement to reporters, Witherspoon explained that Bart Matthews was killed when exceptional condition 5.2.4.26 arose. This involved an exceptionally violent and unpredictable robot arm motion. This is a condition that requires operator intervention, namely the entering of the command codes mentioned in the document, but apparently Bart Matthews became confused and could not enter the codes successfully. Silicon Valley Police confirm that when Bart Matthews was killed, the reference manual at his console was opened to the page of the index which contained entries for "errors."

"Although Randy Samuels' program was in error -- he did misinterpret the robot dynamics formulas, as reported in the media -exceptional condition 5.2.4.26 was designed to protect against just this sort of contingency," Witherspoon told reporters. "The robot motion values generated by Randy's program correctly set off this exceptional condition, and the robot operator received due warning that something was wrong."

Witherspoon claimed that she has a signed affidavit from another Cybernetics robot operator to the effect that the training sessions offered by Silicon Techtronics never mentioned this nor many other exceptional conditions. According to Witherspoon, the robot operator has sworn that neither she nor any other robot operator was ever told that the robot arm could oscillate violently. Witherspoon quoted the affidavit at the news conference: "Neither I nor Bart Matthews was ever trained to handle this sort of exceptional condition. I doubt that Bart Matthews had any idea what he was supposed to do when the computer screen started flashing the error message on the screen".

Witherspoon then quoted sections of the requirements document that obligated Silicon Techtronics, referred to as "the vendor," to adequately train robot operators: "The vendor shall provide forty (40) hours of operator training. This training shall cover all aspects of robot operation, including exhaustive coverage of the safety procedures which must be followed in the case of exceptional conditions which potentially contain the risk of bodily injury . . . . The vendor shall provide and administer appropriate test instruments which shall be used to certify sufficient operator understanding of robot console operations and safety procedures. Only employees of the customer

who have passed this test shall be allowed to operate the Robbie CX30 robot in an actual industrial setting . . . . The reference manual shall provide clear instructions for operator intervention in all exceptional situations, especially and including those which potentially contain the risk of bodily injury."

According to Witherspoon, sworn affidavits from several robot operators at Cybernetics state that only one work day of approximately eight hours was spent in operator training. Furthermore, almost no time was spent discussing potentially dangerous exceptional conditions.

"The written test developed by Silicon Techtronics to certify a robot operator was considered a joke by Cybernetics employees," Witherspoon asserted. "Silicon Techtronics obviously did not give much thought to the training and testing procedures mandated by the requirements document, according to the evidence in our possession."

Citation: Richard G. Epstein "Silicon Techtronics Promised To Deliver a Safe Robot" Online Ethics Center for Engineering 2/16/2006 8:43:21 AM National Academy of Engineering Accessed: Monday, October 29, 2007 < www.onlineethics.org/CMS/computers/compcases/killerrobot/article-5.aspx>

# The Cast of Characters

#### Alex Allendale

Attorney, hired to defend Randy Samuels.

## Jan Anderson

Former programmer and analyst at Silicon Techtronics. She opposed the use of the waterfall model on the robot project and was fired for her honesty.

### Turina Babbage

President of the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM). She announces an investigation by the ACM into violations of the ACM Code of Ethics by employees at Silicon Techtronics.

Robert Franklin

Reporter for the Silicon Valley Sentinel-Observer. He interviewed Professor Harry Yoder in order to see how an ethicist would view the developments in the killer robot case. The interview was published in the Sentinel-Observer's Sunday magazine.

#### Horace Gritty

Professor of computer science and related concerns at Silicon Valley University. He sees poor interface design as a primary cause of the killer robot tragedy.

#### Sandra Henderson

Graduate student at Silicon Valley University. She assisted in an investigation into quality assurance procedures at Silicon Techtronics.

#### Ray Johnson

Robotics division chief at Silicon Techtronics. He was driven by the division's need for a successful robot.

### Martha

Anonymous newspaper source. She is the insider at Silicon Techtronics who gave the Silicon Valley Sentinel-Observer information about the group dynamics on the Robbie CX30 robot project.

#### Bart Matthews

Robot operator. A faulty computer program caused a Robbie CX30 robot to strike him dead.

## Roberta Matthews

Widow of Bart Matthews.

## Jane McMurdock

Prosecuting attorney for the city of Silicon Valley. She brought the manslaughter charges against Randy Samuels.

#### Mabel Muckraker

Reporter for the Silicon Valley Sentinel-Observer. She was put on the killer robot story because of her reputation as an effective investigative reporter.

#### Bill Park

Professor of physics at Silicon Valley University. He confirmed that Randy Samuels misinterpreted the robot dynamics equations.

#### **Randy Samuels**

Programmer. He wrote the program code that caused the Robbie CX30 robot to oscillate wildly, killing the robot operator, Bart Matthews.

#### Sam Reynolds

CX30 Project Manager. Although background was in data processing, he was put in charge of the Robbie CX30 project, much to Ray Johnson's chagrin. He was committed to the waterfall model of software development.

#### Robbie CX30

The robot. Robbie never had an unkind thought about anyone, yet he turned into a savage killer.

Wesley Silber

Professor of software engineering at Silicon Valley University. He conducted a review of software quality assurance procedures at Silicon Techtronics.

#### Sharon Skinner

Professor of software psychology at Silicon Valley University. She saw Randy Samuels as a task-oriented person who was overly sensitive to criticism.

#### Valerie Thomas

Attorney, hired by Sam Reynolds

#### Michael Waterson

President and CEO of Silicon Techtronics. He placed Sam Reynolds in charge of the Robbie CX30 project as a cost-saving measure. He contributed generously to Jane McMurdock's re-election campaign, and hired Dr. Wesley Silber to conduct an investigation into software quality assurance at Silicon Techtronics.

#### Max Worthington

Chief security officer for Silicon Techtronics. He monitored electronic mail communications among the employees and thus exposed Cindy Yardley.

#### Ruth Witherspoon

Programmer analyst and spokesperson for the Justice for Randy Samuels committee. She defends Randy Samuels on the grounds that Silicon Techtronics was legally obligated to deliver a safe robot.

#### **Cindy Yardley**

Silicon Techtronics employee and software tester. She admitted to faking software tests in order to save the jobs of her coworkers.

#### Harry Yoder

Samuel Southerland Professor of Computer Technology and Ethics at Silicon Valley University. He examines the tension between individual and corporate responsibilities in an interview published by the Sentinel-Observer's Sunday magazine.